## Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement For the Kansas Division of the Budget

KDWPT Agency <u>Christopher J Tymeson</u> Agency Contact

Topeka, KS 66612

785-296-1032 Contact Phone Number

K.A.R. 115-18-10 K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

The proposed amendments to the regulation include adding the marbled crayfish to the prohibited species list. The marbled crayfish is considered a rapidly reproducing invasive species.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

The federal government does no mandate the inclusion of the marbled crayfish on any invasive species list. Missouri bans possession of the marbled crayfish. Oklahoma, Colorado and Nebraska do not.

- III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:
  - A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The ban on possession of marbled crayfish may impact the pet industry but there is no indication of sale within Kansas at the current time.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

Because it is believed that marbled crayfish are not offered for sale within the State of Kansas at this time, there are no implementation or compliance costs.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;
None.

	DOB APPROVAL STAMP
L	

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs; Marbled crayfish reproduce rapidly and asexually. Prohibiting their possession reduces the chance of accidental release into the environment and out competing native species. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) E. and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals; Again, because it is believed that the species is not currently for sale within the State of Kansas, now is the time to act on the proposal because the cost is miminal. F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public. \$0 An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public. \$0 Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period? YES  $\square$  $NO \boxtimes$ Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate. The species is not currently offered within the state for sale. Therefore, there is no methodology or data to be used in estimating the above cost estimate. Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing. YES  $NO \boxtimes$ G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards. DOB APPROVAL STAMP Not applicable

H.	Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses
	associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the
	public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not applicable.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP