

**Kansas Administrative Regulations  
Economic Impact Statement  
For the Kansas Division of the Budget**

KDWPT  
Agency

Christopher J Tymeson  
Agency Contact

785-296-1032  
Contact Phone Number

K.A.R. 115-25-6  
K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget  
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N  
Topeka, KS 66612

**I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).**

This proposed exempt regulation establishes hunting unit boundaries, bag limit and season dates for the 2020 spring wild turkey seasons. The proposed changes would adjust the opening days for youth, archery and generals seasons.

**II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)**

The federal government does not manage wild turkeys. Missouri, Oklahoma, Colorado and Nebraska all have spring turkey seasons and manage by units.

**III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:**

**A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;**

The regulation proposal would not likely restrict business activities and growth.

**B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;**

The economic effect could be that some businesses may be able to cater to general spring turkey hunters earlier.

**C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;**

Guide businesses.

**D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;**

The alternatives would be to keep the season opening dates the same, open different times for youth and archery, or have no

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

archery season. None of the proposals would likely reduce or increase the overall number of hunters by any substantial measure.

**E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;**

Again, the proposal and potential alternatives would likely have no substantial measure on the economic impact on businesses because hunting seasons for spring turkey already exist.

**F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.**

\$There are no implementation or compliance costs expected to be incurred by business or local governments.

**An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.**

\$There are no implementation or compliance costs expected to be incurred by business or local governments.

**Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?**

YES  NO

**Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.**

The total number of spring turkey permits and game tags sold was 60,545 in 2018. This generates approximately \$1,742,500 for the agency, all of which accrues to the wildlife fee fund, and is paid by user fees. Additionally, each individually identifiable turkey hunter (32,000) goes 11 days afield per year and spends approximately \$1616 per year, generating \$51,712,000 for the Kansas economy, based on economic studies provided by the USFWS.

**Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.**

YES  NO

The agency held prior commission meetings on August 2, in Medicine Lodge, KS at which 11 people signed the attendance roster and on June 21, in Wichita, KS at which 7 people signed the attendance roster. The agency will also hold a meeting on November 15, in Russell, KS. At each of these meetings, public comment was or will be taken on the regulation, in addition to



the official public comment period provided by statute and at the public hearing tentatively to be set in December.

- G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.**

Not applicable.

- H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).**

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

- I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).**

Not applicable.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP